

THE PHILIPPINE AGRICULTURAL SCIENTIST

University of the Philippines Los Baños

College of Agriculture

International House Complex

College, Laguna 4031, Philippines

For The Philippine Agricultural Scientist

Date Sent: August 31, 2012

Date Received: _____

Reviewer: **Dr. Vladeta Stevovic**

Address: university of Kragujevac

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Guide to Reviewers

Title of Manuscript(s): "RAPE SEED QUALITY IN RELATION TO APPLIED DESICCANTS"

Please check appropriate boxes

A. Acceptability of the paper:

- ☐ 1. Paper is acceptable for publication
- ☐ 2. Paper is acceptable for publication with attached comments.
- ☐ 3. Paper may be accepted for publication if the attached points/recommendations are clarified and/or complied with.

- ☒ 4. Paper as presently written is unacceptable for publication. Needs extensive revision.
- ☐ 5. Paper is wholly unacceptable for publication
- ☐ 6. Paper should be published or referred elsewhere.

B. Type of paper:

- ☐ 1. Paper should be published as a full-length paper

- ☐ 2. Paper should be published as a Research Note/Rapid Communication

If you recommend 4, 5, or Research Note, the basis or reasons should be clear in your comments/recommendation

Summary Rating:

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality			X	
Technical Quality			X	
Clarity of Presentation			X	
Importance to Field		x		

General Comments and/or Recommendations:

(Please type, use additional page if needed):

Page : Line : Comments and/or Suggestions

COMMENT SHEET FOR REVIEWERS

Among other things, please evaluate the attached manuscript submitted for publication in *The Philippine Agricultural Scientist* with respect to the following criteria:

1. Has the proper methodology been done to obtain the results presented?
Is the methodology complete to enable another researcher to follow the procedures?

Methodology of work is not completely given. For the used chemical agents and producers should be given active substance.

2. Are sufficient data presented to justify the conclusion(s) made?

The relevant conclusions can be drawn only after appropriate statistical analysis and testing of the values (the suggested in criteria 3)
Are the data presented in the tables/figures consistent with the discussion in the text?

3. Has the necessary statistical analysis been made (if applicable)?

The obtained results should be displayed as a two factorial experiment with a for years (2 or 3)

4. Have the study objectives been met?

Partial

5. Other relevant matters